

EDUCATION & YOUTH OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date of Meeting	Thursday 21st March, 2019			
Report Subject	Quarter 3 Council Plan 2018/19 Monitoring Report			
Cabinet Member	Cabinet Member for Education & Youth			
Report Author	Chief Officer (Education & Youth)			
Type of Report	Operational			

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council Plan 2018/19 was adopted by the Council in June 2018. This report presents a summary of the monitoring of progress for the Quarter 3 (October – December 2018) position of 2018/19 for the Council Plan priority 'Learning Council' relevant to the Education & Youth Overview & Scrutiny Committee.

Flintshire is a high performing Council as evidenced in previous Council Plan monitoring reports as well as in the Council's Annual Performance Reports. This second monitoring report for the 2018/19 Council Plan is a positive report, with 92% of activities being assessed as making good progress, and 85% likely to achieve the desired outcome. In addition, 67% of the performance indicators met or exceeded target. Risks are also being successfully managed with the majority being assessed as moderate (61%) or minor/insignificant (22%).

This report is an exception based report and therefore detail focuses on the areas of under-performance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Committee consider the Quarter 3 Council Plan Monitoring Report 2018/19 to monitor under performance and request further information as appropriate.

REPORT DETAILS

1.00	EXPLAINING THE COUNCIL PLAN 2018/19 MONITORING REPORT					
1.01	The Council Plan monitoring reports give an explanation of the progress being made toward the delivery of the impacts set out in the 2018/19 Council Plan. The narrative is supported by performance indicators and / or milestones which evidence achievement. In addition, there is an assessment of the strategic risks and the level to which they are being controlled.					
1.02	This is an exception based report and detail therefore focuses on the areas of under-performance.					
1.03	 Monitoring our Activities Each of the sub-priorities have high level activities which are monitored over time. 'Progress' monitors progress against scheduled activity and has been categorised as follows: - RED: Limited Progress – delay in scheduled activity; not on track AMBER: Satisfactory Progress – some delay in scheduled activity, but broadly on track GREEN: Good Progress – activities completed on schedule, on track A RAG status is also given as an assessment of our level of confidence at this point in time in achieving the 'outcome(s)' for each sub-priority. Outcome has been categorised as: - RED: Low – lower level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) AMBER: Medium – uncertain level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) GREEN: High – full confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) 					
1.04	In summary our overall progress against the high level activities is: - ACTIVITIES PROGRESS • We are making good (green) progress in 48 (92%). • We are making satisfactory (amber) progress in 4 (8%). ACTIVITIES OUTCOME • We have a high (green) level of confidence in the outcome achievement of 44 (85%). • We have a medium (amber) level of confidence in the outcome achievement of 8 (15%). • No activities have a low (red) level of confidence in their outcome achievement.					
1.05	Monitoring our Performance					
	Analysis of performance against the Improvement Plan performance indicators is undertaken using the RAG (Red, Amber Green) status. This is defined as follows: -					

- RED equates to a position of under-performance against target.
- AMBER equates to a mid-position where improvement may have been made but performance has missed the target.
- GREEN equates to a position of positive performance against target.
- 1.06 Analysis of current levels of performance against period target shows the following: -
 - 29 (67%) had achieved a green RAG status
 - 12 (28%) had achieved an amber RAG status
 - 2 (5%) had achieved a red RAG status
- 1.07 There are no performance indicators (PI) showing a red RAG status for current performance against target, relevant to the Education & Youth Overview & Scrutiny Committee.

1.08 | Monitoring our Risks

Analysis of the current risk levels for the strategic risks identified in the Council Plan is as follows: -

- 5 (11%) are insignificant (green)
- 5 (11%) are minor (yellow)
- 27 (61%) are moderate (amber)
- 7 (16%) are major (red)
- 0 (0%) are severe (black)

Note that due to rounding issues the above does not add up to 100%. A number of decimal points would be required to show this.

1.09 The major (red) risks identified for the Education & Youth Overview & Scrutiny Committee are: -

Priority: Learning Council

Risk: Sustainability of funding streams.

The sustainability of grant funding for education continues to pose a significant and live risk in a number of areas:

- A lack of clarity about the funding of the Teachers' Pay Award for 2019-20 remains. Through Revenue Support Grant and the Teachers Pay Grant from Welsh Government the schools budget will be funded for 1% of the impact of the pay award implemented in September 2018. The balance of 1.2% of the pay award is a cost pressure which will have to be absorbed by schools. Further it can be assumed that there will be a Teachers' Pay Award from September 2019 which unless national funding is made available will be an additional cost pressure which will need to be absorbed by schools.
- The increase in the teachers' employers' pensions costs remains a significant risk. From September 2019 the employers' contributions will increase from 16.48% to 23.6%. The impact of this on Flintshire schools will be £2.026m. The Chancellor announced £4.7billion nationally to cover public sector increased pension costs but at this stage there is no indication of whether there will be a consequential for Welsh Government.
- The recently announced £15m grant for schools across Wales appears

- to have been ring-fenced by the Cabinet Secretary for Education to support professional learning in advance of the introduction of the new curriculum, but distribution methodology and terms and conditions are not yet known.
- The MEAG (Minority Ethnic Achievement Grant) was not reinstated in full and there remains a lack of clarity about a new regional model for delivery of these services and the funding attached to them.

There are grants which are only short term to support Ministerial key priorities e.g. Infant Class Sizes; Small and Rural Schools etc. but the grant conditions are often complex which makes them difficult to administer and their short term nature does not allow for proper strategic planning to maximise impact.

Risk: Numbers of school places not matching the changing demographics.

Reducing unfilled school places via school organisation change is an ongoing process. School change projects can take between three and five years from inception to delivery before reductions of unfilled places can be realised. This continues to be an ongoing process linked to the school modernisation programme. To supplement this the Council will continue to work closely with schools to consider innovative ways for reduction in capacity on a school by school basis (i.e. alternative use of school facilities by other groups) with the objective of meeting national targets of circa 10% unfilled places in all school sectors.

Risk: Limited funding to address the backlog of known repair and maintenance works in Education & Youth assets.

The Council continues to invest in schools through its annual maintenance programme. However, this funding is not sufficient to cover all works identified through commissioned condition surveys.

The continuation of the School Modernisation programme and investment through the 21st Century school programme is one of the strategic options available to the Council address the repair and maintenance backlog.

The programme continuation will also i) Support a reduction of unfilled places ii) Provide a more efficient school estate and concentrate resources on teaching by removal of unwanted fixed costs in infrastructure and leadership iii) Ensure that the condition and suitability of the school estate is improved.

Additionally, the Council will maximise external investment using other funding streams as an when they are made available as well submitting capital business cases through the Council's process in future years for consideration.

2.00	RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS
2.01	There are no specific resource implications for this report.

3.00	CONSULTATIONS REQUIRED / CARRIED OUT
3.01	The Council Plan Priorities are monitored by the appropriate Overview and Scrutiny Committees according to the priority area of interest.
3.02	Chief Officers have contributed towards reporting of relevant information.

4.00	RISK MANAGEMENT
4.01	Progress against the risks identified in the Council Plan is included in the report at Appendix 1. Summary information for the risks assessed as major (red) is covered in paragraphs 1.07 and 1.09 above.

5.00	APPENDICES
5.01	Appendix 1 - Council Plan 2017/18 – Quarter 3 Monitoring Report – Learning Council.

6.00	LIST OF ACCESS	IBLE BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS					
6.01	Council Plan 2017/18: http://www.flintshire.gov.uk/en/Resident/Council-						
	and-Democracy/Improvement-Plan.aspx						
	Contact Officer: Ceri Shotton						
	Telephone: 01352 702305						
	E-mail:	ceri.shotton@flintshire.gov.uk					

7.00	GLOSSARY OF TERMS						
7.01	Council Plan: the document which sets out the annual priorities of the Council. It is a requirement of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009 to set Improvement Objectives and publish a Council Plan.						
7.02	Risks: These are assessed using the improved approach to risk management endorsed by Audit Committee in June 2015. The new approach, includes the use of a new and more sophisticated risk assessment matrix which provides greater opportunities to show changes over time.						

7.03

Risk Likelihood and Impact Matrix

	Catastrophic	Υ	А	R	R	В	В
Impact Severity	Critical	Υ	A	A	R	R	R
	Marginal	G	Υ	А	А	А	R
	Negligible	G	G	Υ	Υ	А	А
		Unlikely (5%)	Very Low (15%)	Low (30%)	Significant (50%)	Very High (65%)	Extremely High (80%)
Likelihood & Percentage of risk happening							

The new approach to risk assessment was created in response to recommendations in the Corporate Assessment report from the Wales Audit Office and Internal Audit.

7.04 **CAMMS – An explanation of the report headings**

Actions

<u>Action</u> – Each sub-priority have high level activities attached to them to help achieve the outcomes of the sub-priority.

<u>Lead Officer</u> – The person responsible for updating the data on the action. <u>Status</u> – This will either be 'In progress' if the action has a start and finish date or 'Ongoing' if it is an action that is longer term than the reporting year. <u>Start date</u> – When the action started (usually the start of the financial year). <u>End date</u> – When the action is expected to be completed.

<u>% complete</u> - The % that the action is complete at the time of the report. This only applies to actions that are 'in progress'. An action that is 'ongoing' will not produce a % complete due to the longer-term nature of the action.

<u>Progress RAG</u> – Shows if the action at this point in time is making limited progress (Red), satisfactory progress (Amber) or good progress (Green).

Outcome RAG – Shows the level of confidence in achieving the outcomes for each action.

Measures (Key Performance Indicators - KPIs)

<u>Pre. Year Period Actual</u> – The period actual at the same point in the previous year. If the KPI is a new KPI for the year then this will show as 'no data'.

Period Actual – The data for this quarter.

<u>Period Target</u> – The target for this quarter as set at the beginning of the year. <u>Perf. RAG</u> – This measures performance for the period against the target. It is automatically generated according to the data. Red = a position of under performance against target, Amber = a mid-position where improvement may have been made but performance has missed the target and Green = a position of positive performance against the target.

<u>Perf. Indicator Trend</u> – Trend arrows give an impression of the direction the performance is heading compared to the period of the previous year:

 A 'downward arrow' always indicates poorer performance regardless of whether a KPI figure means that less is better (e.g. the amount of days to deliver a grant or undertake a review) or if a KPI figure means that more is better (e.g. number of new jobs in Flintshire).

Similarly an 'upward arrow' always indicates improved performance.

YTD Actual – The data for the year so far including previous quarters.

<u>YTD Target</u> – The target for the year so far including the targets of previous quarters.

Outcome RAG – The level of confidence of meeting the target by the end of the year. Low – lower level of confidence in the achievement of the target (Red), Medium – uncertain level of confidence in the achievement of the target (Amber) and High - full confidence in the achievement of the target (Green).

Risks

Risk Title – Gives a description of the risk.

<u>Lead Officer</u> – The person responsible for managing the risk.

Supporting Officer – The person responsible for updating the risk.

<u>Initial Risk Rating</u> – The level of the risk at the start of the financial year (quarter 1). The risks are identified as follows; insignificant (green), minor (yellow), moderate (amber), major (red) and severe (black).

<u>Current Risk Rating</u> – The level of the risk at this quarter.

<u>Trend Arrow</u> – This shows if the risk has increased (upward arrow), decreased (downward arrow) or remained the same between the initial risk rating and the current risk rating (stable arrow).

<u>Risk Status</u> – This will either show as 'open' or 'closed'. If a risk is open then it is still a relevant risk, if the risk is closed then it is no longer a relevant risk; a new risk may be generated where a plan or strategy moves into a new phase.